

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,514	SCHROMEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Árpád Fábián Kovács.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Wendy Marsh.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14-19


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner informed Applicant that during the Appeal Conference, claims 1-10, 20-22 were determined to be allowable, while claims 14-19 are not relevant to the invention set forth in claims 1-10, 20-22. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14-19.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)